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## ABSTRACT

## Synthesis of Cisoid 1-Alkyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadienes


#### Abstract

Photoirradiation of 1-Alkyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadienes was found to induce photoisomerization of the compounds. UV irradiation of 1-methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene yields two isomers ( $1 E, 3 E$ ) and $(1 Z, 3 E)$, however, upon irradiation of 1-trifluoromethyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene only the $(1 Z, 3 E)$ isomer was formed. Our observations suggest that the alkyl substituent effects the regioselectivity of the compound. An electron donating group, such as the methyl group, decreases the regioselectivity, and an electron withdrawing group, such as the trifluoromethyl group, increases the regioselectivity of the compound.
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# Synthesis of <br> Cisoid 1-Alkyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadienes 

## Introduction

Photoisomerization of symmetrical cisoid 1,4-diphenyl-1,3butadienes gives only one $(E, Z)$-isomer because of the equivalence of the two aryl groups. However, photoisomerization will produce two different isomers, $(1 Z, 3 E)$ and $(1 E, 3 Z)$, when the two aryl groups are not symmetrical (Liu, Suits, and Boarman, 2003). Previous experiments show that direct irradiation of the $(1 E, 3 E)$ isomers of fluorinated cisoid 1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadienes in an organic solvent will lead to formation of the major $(1 Z, 3 E)$ isomers (Liu, Suits, and Boarman, 2003). Unique regioselectivity upon photoisomerization has been indicated in the previous study. The purpose of this research project was to determine whether photoirradiation of the ( $1 E, 3 E$ ) isomers of 1-alkyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadienes would produce the $(1 Z, 3 E)$ and/or $(1 E, 3 Z)$ isomers. To determine the effects of the substituents upon photoisomerization, an electron withdrawing group $\left(-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ and an electron donating group $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ were introduced to the butadiene system.

In order to study the photoisomerization of ( $E, E$ )-1-alkyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadienes, the compounds (1-5) were synthesized. First, to synthesize the desired substituted enone (1), an aldol condensation reaction was used. To couple the molecules, a strong base (sodium hydroxide in methanol) was used to treat a ketone and an aldehyde. The general synthetic approach for the Aldol condensation is shown in Scheme 1. The approach is dependent on the presence of alpha hydrogens on the norcamphor molecule. The use of the strong base removed one of the alpha hydrogens from norcamphor, and the resulting sodium enolate was used, as the nucleophile, to attack the carbonyl carbon of the aldehyde. The final product of this first reaction was a phenyl-substituted enone (1). Next, the McMurray Coupling reaction, which has proven to be successful in the synthesis of cisoid 1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadienes, was used to introduce the second phenyl ring (Liu, Suits, and Boarman, 2003; Liu, Murray, and Young, 2003).


Scheme 1. Synthesis of the phenyl-substituted enone (1)

The McMurray Coupling reaction is a dimerization and a reduction of ketones mediated by a Titanium reagent. The reaction involves the reduction of the ketones first. Then, the deoxygenation of the molecule affords the desired product. During the deoxygenation, a carbon-carbon double bond between the two ketone molecules is formed. The phenyl-substituted enone (1) was used to synthesize the desired ( $E, E$ )-1-alkyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene by using a McMurray Coupling reaction. Scheme 2 shows the synthetic approach used for the preparation of compounds (2) and (3).


Scheme 2. McMurray Coupling of the phenyl-substituted enone (1) and acetophenone

Also, Scheme 3 shows the similar synthetic approach used to prepare compounds (4) and (5). However, compound 5 was the only isomer isolated from the synthetic mixture.


Scheme 3. McMurray Coupling of the phenyl-substituted enone (1) and trifluoroacetophenone

## Experimental Procedures

## Preparation of Compound 1:

The preparation of the enone was accomplished by adding benzaldehyde ( $1.74 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0164 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and norcamphor ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0164$ $\mathrm{mol})$ to a solution of sodium hydroxide $(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0150 \mathrm{~mol})$ in methanol $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for three hours at room temperature and then quenched by the slow addition of water ( 15 mL ). The reaction mixture was then extracted by ethyl ether three times. The combined ether layers were washed with water and brine, and then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the product was obtained. Column chromatography was then used to isolate the pure product, and a thin-line chromatography (TLC) was performed to determine which test tubes contained the product. The test tubes containing the product were combined, and the solvent was evaporated.

## Preparation of Compounds 2 and 3:

The preparation of ( $E, E$ )-1, alkyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene was accomplished by using a McMurray Coupling reaction. This was done using a titanium reagent. Activated zinc dust ( $0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01071$ $\mathrm{mol})$ in THF ( 3 mL ) was added to a round bottom flask. The reaction flask was placed in an ice bath and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A syringe was then used to syringe $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ into the flask. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 30 minutes. Pyridine (two drops) was added via a syringe. Next, a solution of enone (1) $(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzaldehyde $(0.48 \mathrm{~g}$, 4 mmol ) was added to the round bottom flask. Reflux was continued for two hours and 20 minutes. The reaction was quenched with water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl ether. The organic layers were then washed with brine and dried. The
compound was purified by silica gel chromatography using hexanes as the solvent. Thin-line chromatography (TLC) was used to determine the separation of the isomers. The test tubes containing the product were combined, and the solvent was evaporated. The isomers were identified using NMR spectroscopy.


Figure 5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of compounds 2 and 3

Compound $2-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.20-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, vinylic), 6.907.40 (m, 10H, aromatic).

Compound $2-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 25.1,30.9,30.1$, 42.8, 45.1, 45.6, 125.0, 148.0.


Figure 6: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$

Compound $\mathbf{3}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.40-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, vinylic), 6.90$7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic).

Compound 3 - ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 26.3,30.0,30.1$, 41.8, 44.3, 44.4, 125.0, 148.0.

## Preparation of Compound 5:

The preparation of compound (5) was accomplished using the McMurray Coupling reaction. A solution of activated zinc dust ( $0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0107 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added to a round bottom flask. The flask was placed in an ice water bath and cooled to
$0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A syringe was used to syringe $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ into the reaction flask, and the reaction was heated at reflux for 30 minutes. Pyridine (two drops) was added to the reaction flask. Next, the enone (1) ( $0.73 \mathrm{~g}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trifluoroacetophenone $(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the reaction. The reaction was then heated at reflux for three hours and 40 minutes. Column chromatography was used to separate the isomers, and any remaining starting material. This was done using a long column with hexanes as the solvent. The test tubes containing the desired product were combined, and the solvent was evaporated. The isomers were then identified using NMR spectroscopy.


Figure 7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of compound 5
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.40-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, vinylic), 6.90-7.40 (m, 10H, aromatic).


Figure 8: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of compound 5
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 29.6,30.1,41.8,43.7,45.4,128.5$, $128.9,129.6,130.1,130.3,130.5,130.8,131.0,131.9,139.4,146.6$

## Computational Results

The computational NMR data allowed us to determine that two isomers were formed upon synthesis of 1-methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene. However, only one isomer of 1-trifluoromethyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene was formed. The major isomers are shown by the peaks with high intensity, and the minor isomers that are formed are represented by the low intensity peaks.

The experimental and computational data was then used to assign NMR peaks to the corresponding isomers of the 1-alkyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadienes (Kong et. al, 2000). The vinylic hydrogen for the (1E,3E)-1-methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene shows a chemical shift of 6.8 ppm , and the vinylic hydrogen for the $(1 Z, 3 E)$ -1-methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene shows a chemical shift of 5.5 ppm . Also, the chemical shifts of the two bridgehead hydrogens are different for the two isomers. The chemical shifts at 3.2 ppm and 3.3 ppm are consistent with the presence of $(1 Z, 3 E)$-1-methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene, and shifts at 2.9 ppm and 3.4 ppm are consistent with the presence of $(1 E, 3 E)$-1-methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene. Tables 1-4 show the calculated chemical shifts in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra for compounds (2-5). Analysis of these data shows that the major isomers formed are the $(1 Z, 3 E)$ isomers of the 1-alkyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadienes. The minor isomer ( $1 E, 3 E$ )-1-methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadienes is formed, but close examination of the data shows that the $(1 E, 3 E)-1$ -trifluoromethyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene, compound (4), was not formed. Figure 9 shows the optimized structures of compounds $\mathbf{2 - 5}$, based on the computational results.

| Table 1 |  |  |  | Table 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical shifts of (1E,3E)-1-methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene <br> (Kong et. al., 2000) |  |  |  | Chemical shifts of (1Z,3E)-1-methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene <br> (Kong et. al., 2000) |  |  |  |
| NMR shifts (ppm) |  |  |  | NMR shifts (ppm) |  |  |  |
| 1 | C1 | 98.3325 | 114.862 | 1 | C1 | 98.0567 | 115.138 |
| 2 | H3 | 27.0583 | 6.560 | 2 | H3 | 28.4130 | 5.205 |
| 3 | C2 | 76.1745 | 137.020 | 3 | C2 | 80.0083 | 133.186 |
| 4 | C3 | 81.7414 | 131.453 | 4 | C3 | 84.4815 | 128.713 |
| 5 | C4 | 91.4490 | 121.745 | 5 | C4 | 93.8959 | 119.298 |
| 6 | C5 | 177.1379 | 36.056 | 6 | C5 | 177.9536 | 35.241 |
| 7 | H8 | 31.1276 | 2.491 | 7 | H8 | 31.2236 | 2.394 |
| 8 | C6 | 176.9240 | 36.270 | 8 | C6 | 177.4758 | 35.719 |
| 9 | H11 | 31.5934 | 2.025 | 9 | H11 | 31.0623 | 2.556 |
| 10 | C7 | 190.6815 | 22.513 | 10 | C7 | 189.1082 | 24.086 |
| 11 | H12 | 32.2294 | 1.389 | 11 | H12 | 32.1003 | 1.518 |
| 12 | H13 | 32.3721 | 1.246 | 12 | H13 | 32.3136 | 1.304 |
| 13 | C8 | 187.5337 | 25.661 | 13 | C8 | 188.4002 | 24.794 |
| 14 | H14 | 32.5658 | 1.052 | 14 | H14 | 32.3226 | 1.295 |
| 15 | H15 | 32.4503 | 1.168 | 15 | H15 | 32.0970 | 1.521 |
| 16 | C9 | 179.9939 | 33.200 | 16 | C9 | 180.4843 | 32.710 |
| 17 | H9 | 32.6037 | 1.014 | 17 | H9 | 32.5665 | 1.052 |
| 18 | H10 | 32.7660 | 0.852 | 18 | H10 | 32.6007 | 1.017 |
| 19 | C10 | 84.1490 | 129.045 | 19 | C10 | 84.1353 | 129.059 |
| 20 | C11 | 95.4176 | 117.777 | 20 | C11 | 95.9793 | 117.215 |
| 21 | C12 | 93.2738 | 119.921 | 21 | C12 | 93.3952 | 119.799 |
| 22 | C13 | 92.6977 | 120.497 | 22 | C13 | 93.6709 | 119.523 |
| 23 | C14 | 93.8897 | 119.305 | 23 | C14 | 94.3794 | 118.815 |
| 24 | C15 | 93.8231 | 119.371 | 24 | C15 | 94.2801 | 118.914 |
| 25 | H6 | 26.2375 | 7.381 | 25 | H6 | 26.4964 | 7.122 |
| 26 | H16 | 26.2449 | 7.373 | 26 | H16 | 26.4172 | 7.201 |
| 27 | H17 | 26.2402 | 7.378 | 27 | H17 | 26.5020 | 7.116 |
| 28 | H18 | 26.3512 | 7.267 | 28 | H18 | 26.5422 | 7.076 |
| 29 | C16 | 76.4557 | 136.739 | 29 | C16 | 79.0570 | 134.137 |
| 30 | C17 | 95.6816 | 117.513 | 30 | C17 | 95.4774 | 117.717 |
| 31 | C18 | 94.6116 | 118.583 | 31 | C18 | 93.3562 | 119.838 |
| 32 | C19 | 93.0993 | 120.095 | 32 | C19 | 93.7869 | 119.407 |
| 33 | C20 | 93.3960 | 119.798 | 33 | C20 | 92.4112 | 120.783 |
| 34 | C21 | 93.0502 | 120.144 | 34 | C21 | 93.1042 | 120.090 |
| 35 | H20 | 26.2285 | 7.390 | 35 | H20 | 26.2219 | 7.396 |
| 36 | H21 | 26.1936 | 7.424 | 36 | H21 | 26.1296 | 7.489 |
| 37 | H22 | 26.3228 | 7.295 | 37 | H22 | 26.3252 | 7.293 |
| 38 | H1 | 26.3470 | 7.271 | 38 | H1 | 26.2393 | 7.379 |
| 39 | H2 | 26.4088 | 7.209 | 39 | H2 | 26.9712 | 6.647 |
| 40 | H25 | 26.3246 | 7.293 | 40 | H25 | 26.3249 | 7.293 |
| 41 | C22 | 190.8948 | 22.300 | 41 | C22 | 189.6410 | 23.553 |
| 42 | H4 | 31.2328 | 2.385 | 42 | H4 | 31.4928 | 2.125 |
| 43 | H5 | 31.3443 | 2.274 | 43 | H5 | 31.7793 | 1.839 |
| 44 | H7 | 31.9782 | 1.640 | 44 | H7 | 31.7367 | 1.881 |


| Table 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical shifts of (1E,3E)-1-trifluoromethyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene (Kong et. al, 2000) |  |  |  |
| NMR shifts (ppm) |  |  |  |
|  | Atom | Isotropic | Rel. Shift |
| 1 | C1 | 89.2051 | 123.989 |
| 2 | H3 | 25.9724 | 7.646 |
| 3 | C2 | 84.4330 | 128.761 |
| 4 | C3 | 63.8468 | 149.347 |
| 5 | C4 | 103.8781 | 109.316 |
| 6 | C5 | 177.5312 | 35.663 |
| 7 | H8 | 31.0407 | 2.577 |
| 8 | C6 | 175.0410 | 38.153 |
| 9 | H11 | 31.5723 | 2.046 |
| 10 | C7 | 190.7523 | 22.442 |
| 11 | H12 | 32.1497 | 1.468 |
| 12 | H13 | 32.2236 | 1.394 |
| 13 | C8 | 188.6622 | 24.532 |
| 14 | H14 | 32.5746 | 1.044 |
| 15 | H15 | 32.3505 | 1.268 |
| 16 | C9 | 180.9949 | 32.199 |
| 17 | H9 | 32.6198 | 0.998 |
| 18 | H10 | 32.7170 | 0.901 |
| 19 | C10 | 85.6542 | 127.540 |
| 20 | C11 | 94.1308 | 119.064 |
| 21 | C12 | 92.6547 | 120.540 |
| 22 | C13 | 92.6387 | 120.556 |
| 23 | C14 | 94.0730 | 119.121 |
| 24 | C15 | 93.8916 | 119.303 |
| 25 | H6 | 26.1853 | 7.433 |
| 26 | H16 | 26.2064 | 7.412 |
| 27 | H17 | 26.2006 | 7.417 |
| 28 | H18 | 26.2612 | 7.357 |
| 29 | C16 | 85.4779 | 127.716 |
| 30 | C17 | 93.6251 | 119.569 |
| 31 | C18 | 90.4611 | 122.733 |
| 32 | C19 | 90.7578 | 122.437 |
| 33 | C20 | 93.6578 | 119.536 |
| 34 | C21 | 93.7355 | 119.459 |
| 35 | H20 | 26.1677 | 7.450 |
| 36 | H21 | 26.1560 | 7.462 |
| 37 | H22 | 26.1742 | 7.444 |
| 38 | H1 | 26.1669 | 7.451 |
| 39 | H2 | 26.3101 | 7.308 |
| 40 | H25 | 26.2265 | 7.392 |
| 41 | C22 | 101.0020 | 112.192 |
| 42 | F1 | 334.1438 |  |
| 43 | F2 | 315.4584 |  |
| 44 | F3 | 334.5811 |  |

## Table 4

Chemical shifts of
(1Z,3E)-1-trifluoromethyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene
(Kong et. al., 2000)

| NMR shifts (ppm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atom | Isotropic | Rel. Shift |
| 1 | C1 | 91.0443 | 122.150 |
| 2 | H3 | 28.0332 | 5.585 |
| 3 | C2 | 83.1406 | 130.054 |
| 4 | C3 | 66.2373 | 146.957 |
| 5 | C4 | 102.5072 | 110.687 |
| 6 | C5 | 178.2440 | 34.950 |
| 7 | H8 | 31.1501 | 2.468 |
| 8 | C6 | 175.9648 | 37.230 |
| 9 | H11 | 30.5487 | 3.069 |
| 10 | C7 | 189.7356 | 23.459 |
| 11 | H12 | 32.0510 | 1.567 |
| 12 | H13 | 32.3323 | 1.286 |
| 13 | C8 | 188.8846 | 24.310 |
| 14 | H14 | 32.1383 | 1.480 |
| 15 | H15 | 31.9088 | 1.709 |
| 16 | C9 | 180.7217 | 32.473 |
| 17 | H9 | 32.5144 | 1.104 |
| 18 | H10 | 32.4782 | 1.140 |
| 19 | C10 | 86.0585 | 127.136 |
| 20 | C11 | 94.4865 | 118.708 |
| 21 | C12 | 93.0592 | 120.135 |
| 22 | C13 | 93.5009 | 119.693 |
| 23 | C14 | 94.2402 | 118.954 |
| 24 | C15 | 94.1305 | 119.064 |
| 25 | H6 | 26.4188 | 7.199 |
| 26 | H16 | 26.3393 | 7.279 |
| 27 | H17 | 26.4299 | 7.188 |
| 28 | H18 | 26.4137 | 7.204 |
| 29 | C16 | 87.2662 | 125.928 |
| 30 | C17 | 93.3162 | 119.878 |
| 31 | C18 | 90.4864 | 122.708 |
| 32 | C19 | 91.0813 | 122.113 |
| 33 | C20 | 92.7240 | 120.470 |
| 34 | C21 | 93.3469 | 119.847 |
| 35 | H20 | 26.1683 | 7.450 |
| 36 | H21 | 26.0609 | 7.557 |
| 37 | H22 | 26.1684 | 7.450 |
| 38 | H1 | 26.0922 | 7.526 |
| 39 | H2 | 26.9379 | 6.680 |
| 40 | H25 | 26.2054 | 7.413 |
| 41 | C22 | 100.4247 | 112.770 |
| 42 | F1 | 338.7338 |  |
| 43 | F2 | 324.6291 |  |
| 44 | F3 | 327.0695 |  |



Figure 9: The optimized structures (2-5) determined by way of HF-6-31G

## Results and Discussion

In order to prepare ( $1 E, 3 E$ )-1-trifluoromethyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3butadiene, a different synthetic approach was attempted. The first step in that synthesis is to prepare compound 6 . This was done by addition of butyllithium to diisopropyl amine to form lithium diisopropyl amine (LDA), which was then reacted with norcamphor to form the lithium enolate intermediate. The enolate intermediate was reacted with trifluoroacetaphenone to produce compound 6, which was successfully isolated (Scheme 4). This product is supposed to undergo an acylation with acetyl chloride in pyridine, as a catalyst, and dichloromethane as a solvent to protect the hydroxyl group. Then, potassium tert-butoxide ( KOtBu ) in THF can be used in the attempt to form a double bond. However, the acylation reaction afforded a side product, due to the effect of the nearby fluorine. An alternative approach for protecting the hydroxyl group is certainly needed for the completion of the proposed dehydration step.

The reason why two isomers were formed upon synthesis of 1 -methyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene, $(1 E, 3 E)$ and ( $1 Z, 3 E$ ), yet only one isomer, $(1 Z, 3 E)$, was formed upon synthesis of 1 -trifluoromethyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene is still under investigation. Prior to interpreting the NMR data, it was hypothesized that ( $1 E, 3 E$ )-1-trifluoromethyl-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-


Scheme 4: An alternative synthetic approach
butadiene would be the major isomer for this compound. We believed the ( $1 E, 3 E$ ) isomer would be the major isomer because hydrogen bonding between the fluorine and the hydrogen in the structure would make the compound more stable. However, the data that we collected and analyzed shows that the $(1 Z, 3 E)$ isomer is the major isomer that was formed. We currently believe that steric hinderance may play a critical role in the formation of the $(1 E, 3 E)$ isomer.

According to the previous studies conducted in the same lab, an electron donating group will strengthen the carbon-carbon double bond. By strengthening this double bond, the observed regioselectivity is decreased upon photoirradiation. If an electron withdrawing substituent, such as fluorine, is attached to the phenyl ring, which is attached to the carbon-carbon double bond, an increase in regioselectivity is observed. The electron withdrawing group allows for the formation of the major $(1 Z, 3 E)$ isomer and increases the selectivity upon photoirradiation (Liu, Suits, and Boarman, 2003; Liu, Murray, and Young, 2003). Upon irradiation of the compounds ( $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ ), photoisomerization of the compounds ( $\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ ) was not observed. The compounds appear to undergo a photocyclization process. The mechanism of this photo-reaction is under current investigation.
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