

POSTER PRESENTATION EVALUATION

Author(s): _____

Title: _____

CATEGORY	Unsatisfactory (1-2)	Fair (3-4)	Satisfactory (5-6)	Good (7-8)	Excellent (9-10)
Abstract	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Content	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Organization Presentation Style	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Figures/Tables	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Reflection on Community Service	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Overall	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

COMMENTS:

1. Features of the presentation/service that were commendable:

2. Features that could be improved, and how:

CATEGORY DEFINITIONS FOR POSTER PRESENTATIONS:

Abstract: Title is a good index to content, objective(s) are clear to the reader, methods are briefly but sufficiently described, results and conclusions are clearly stated; overall a concise synopsis of the presentation.

Content: Objective(s) or hypothesis (es) clearly stated, methods described briefly but adequately, data sufficient and analysis appropriate, conclusions follow logically from the results and were clearly summarized.

Organization: Research is well-presented and argued logically (i.e., easy to follow), clear and reasonable progression of ideas from introduction, through the methods and results, and to the conclusions. Layout of poster is well conceived. Poster was ready at the start of the session.

Presentation Style: Author's name and poster title clearly legible from a distance. Font and paper of sufficient quality, panel topics clear and identified, good balance of illustrations and text, professional appearance to poster, presenter(s) was available throughout the session to answer questions, was knowledgeable and courteous.

Figures/Tables: Figures and tables are clear and readable, not cluttered with unnecessary information, effective at quickly conveying a point, enhance and do not detract from presentation. Figures and legends can be understood without having to read the text, text and illustrations easy to read, effective use of color.

Reflection on Community Service: Authors and presenters 1) discuss fully the real and potential contributions of their research to the target community, 2) have developed ideas for improving and expanding the research to increase its contributions to the community, and 3) demonstrate thought about how their community research contributed to their personal growth and ability to "make a difference", and 4) produced a quality product for dissemination of their research.

Overall: Presentation as a whole effectively communicated an entire research project, a truly professional contribution.